

Message

From: Dravis, Samantha [dravis.samantha@epa.gov]
Sent: 6/14/2017 7:59:07 PM
To: Nishida, Jane [Nishida.Jane@epa.gov]
CC: Jackson, Ryan [jackson.ryan@epa.gov]
Subject: Re: Draft Vatican Cable

What he said was that he was skeptical a new agreement could be successfully re-negotiated. That's it. The way they have that written is all wrong

Sent from my iPhone

On Jun 14, 2017, at 2:06 PM, Nishida, Jane <Nishida.Jane@epa.gov> wrote:

I

We can add these suggested changes to the draft cable and if there are other changes in tone, please let me know.

From: Jackson, Ryan
Sent: Wednesday, June 14, 2017 1:45 PM
To: Nishida, Jane <Nishida.Jane@epa.gov>; Dravis, Samantha <dravis.samantha@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Draft Vatican Cable

I think the word skeptical is more the Charge's word than the Archbishop's word.

I think they would have rather the U.S. stayed, but skeptical of its withdrawal is not accurate. I think it would be more accurate as well to report that Pruitt cited as evidence of the U.S.'s commitment to reduce carbon emissions the reductions which have occurred more due to technological innovation and price of certain types energy than on government regulations driving that innovation out of necessity.

I don't know what if anything should be changed. This is correct, but some of it is from a certain point of view.

From: Nishida, Jane
Sent: Wednesday, June 14, 2017 12:54 PM
To: Jackson, Ryan <jackson.ryan@epa.gov>; Dravis, Samantha <dravis.samantha@epa.gov>
Subject: Draft Vatican Cable

Ryan/Samantha,

The US Embassy Vatican prepared the attached draft reporting cable on the Administrator's Vatican meeting. Since I was not in this meeting, can you please review. If possible, the Embassy would like to send out the cable this week.

Thanks,

Jane